### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

~	REC'D	1	7	MAY	2006
	MIPO				PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant L0012/F		gent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Notification	n of Transmittal of International amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
PCT/EP	2004	053592 1	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 17.12.2004 31.12.2003				
INV. CO	9J15	tent Classification (IPC) or both i 3/02	national classification	n and IPC		L	
Applicant KRATO		LYMERS RESEARCH B.	V. et al.				
1. Thi Aut	s inter thority	rnational preliminary examina and is transmitted to the app	ation report has be plicant according to	een prepare o Article 36	d by this Inter	rnational Preliminary Examining	
2. Thi	s REF	PORT consists of a total of 5	sheets, including	this cover s	heet.		
		s report is also accompanied an amended and are the basi e Rule 70.16 and Section 60				n, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority ne PCT).	
The		nexes consist of a total of s					
3. This	s repo	rt contains indications relatin	ng to the following i	tems:			
ı	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the opinion	-				
П		Priority					
Ш		Non-establishment of opini	ion with regard to a	novelty, inve	entive sten an	ed industrial applicability	
IV	$\boxtimes$	Lack of unity of invention		lovoity, ii.v.	antive step an	и шайына аррисарилу	
V			r Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w supporting such st	rith regard t	o novelty, inve	entive step or industrial applicability;	
VI		Certain documents cited					
VII		Certain defects in the intern	national applicatio	1			
VIII		Certain observations on the	·				
Date of submission of the demand				Date of co	npletion of this	report	
12.04.2005				17.05.2006			
Name and	mailing	address of the international		Authorized Officer			
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl				Hammor	nd, A	Jan Dall Patonson; Eggs 57	
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				Telephone	No. +31 70 340	0-4253	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/053592

ı	R	asis	Ωf	the	ren	ort
	. 0	ลอเอ	U	uic	160	UIL

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages					
	1-26	5	as originally filed				
	Clai	ms, Numbers					
	1-10		as originally filed				
	, ,	,	as originally flied				
2.	With lang	n regard to the <b>langua</b> Juage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	8. With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequen	atly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequen	itly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
$\Box$ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosing the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the listing has been furni	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Add	itional observations, i	f necessary:				

IV	. L	ack	of	unity	of	invention
----	-----	-----	----	-------	----	-----------

1.	In r	response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:						
		restricted the claims.						
		paid additional fees.						
		paid additional fees under pro	test.					
		neither restricted nor paid add	ditiona	l fees.				
2.	$\boxtimes$	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.						
3.	. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is							
		complied with.						
	$\boxtimes$	not complied with for the follo	wing re	easons:				
	see	separate sheet						
4.	Con exa	Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:						
	$\boxtimes$	all parts.						
		the parts relating to claims No	s					
٧.	Rea cita	soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	le 35( orting	2) with rega such stater	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; nent			
1.	Stat	ement						
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10			
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10			
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10			
2.	Citat	ions and explanations						

see separate sheet

#### Re. Item I

#### Basis of the report

1. This file was re-received by this examiner on 11/5/06. This written opinion is based on the claims 1-10 as filed (see Items IV and V below).

#### Re. Item IV

#### Lack of unity of invention

1. The present application is considered to appear to be non-unitaire (claims 1-5,8-10; claims 6-7) in consideration that the present application claims, and particularly claim 1, do not seem to be novel and inventive (see Item V below). Consequently the present application's adhesive compositions do not appear to contain any "special" technical features within the meaning of Rule 13.2 PCT, that is technical features that define a contribution over the prior art, and thus the present application does not appear to meet the requirements of Rule 13.1 PCT for lack of unity.

In this particular PCT case, a decision has been made not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.

#### Re. Item V

# Reasoned statement with regards to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The following documents are cited in the present opinion. The numbering will be adhered to during the rest of the proceedings :

D1 WO02057386

D2 DE2942128

2. D1 is considered to disclose the adhesive compositions which seem to be as described in the application claim 1.

The disclosures of D1 (claims 1-10; page 5, line 6 - page 6, line 7; Tables 1, 2 (isoprene/butadiene ratios); page 15, line 13 (polymer 3a); page 6, line 22-25; page 8, line 8 - page 9, line 24; page 11, line 3-9; tables; examples; page 1, line 8-10; page 10, line 29 - page 11, line 19), appear to be novelty-attacking for the subject-matter of the present claims 1-10 in consideration of the Guidelines, C, III, 4.7a for the physical measurement of parameters.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP2004/053592 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

The disclosures of D2 (claim 1; page 8, line 15-26; page 4, line 16 - page 6, line 3; page 13, line 16-29; page 8, line 28 - page 11, line 19; page 11, line 21 - page 13, line 15) appear to be novelty-attacking for the subject-matter of the present claims 1-10 in consideration of Guidelines, C, III, 4.7a for the physical measurement of parameters. It is noted that the examples such as disclosed in D1 are considered as illustrations of adhesive compositions which fall within the scope of the overall document teachings rather than specific limiting disclosures.